

GENERAL

- SAGS weekend to be held at Fairmont Zimbali, KwaZulu Natal, 27th–29th May 2022.
- Case Studies (abstract form with 300 words) must be submitted online at https://redcap.core.wits.ac.za/redcap/surveys/?s=CK47K3DFN7W779YP by NO LATER than 28 February 2022.
- 5 finalists will be selected to present their cases judged by the SAGS Exco at the Congress.
- All the remaining entries will be entered automatically into the poster section of the competition.
- Entrants are urged to study the oral presentation and poster presentation marking sheets as well as the SAGS Glaucoma Case Study Competition criteria for added guidance and criteria.
- Registrars are encouraged to offer posters for presentation at the meeting of any Glaucoma related subject, however only case studies will be entered into the competition.
- If you miss the deadline for submiting your abstract, you are welcome to send through a poster which will be entered into the Poster section of the competition for presentation at the Congress.

PRIZES

1st place in the presentation section will receive transport (economy air flights), registration for academic programme and standard accommodation for any South African Ophthalmology Congress
1st place in the poster section will receive receive transport (economy air flights), registration for academic programme and standard accommodation for any South African Ophthalmology Congress

Finalists will have their case studies submitted and if eligible will be published in the SA Ophthalmology Journal.

TIMELINES

SAGS Congress: 27th -29th May 2022

Abstract submission: NO LATER than 28 Feb 2022



Judging Criteria

Each case report should clearly tell the story of a case and clearly make one or more teaching points.

Permission/consent from the patient or his agent is mandatory and should be in writing. The following are general guidelines for the case presentation:

Title that clearly identifies the case

	The that clearly racritines the case				
	Case presentation				
	Case history and presenting situation				
	Differential diagnosis and investigations				
-	Treatments and treatment options				
-	Clinical outcomes				
	Discussion				
	Review of relevant literature				
	Unique or special interest features of the current case				
	Implications for clinical practice				
-	Teaching points				
-	Scientific observations or hypotheses				
-					

The 300 word abstract should summarize this, emphasizing the implications for clinical practice and the teaching points.

sh 2017 P-GI ∆-029-V1



POSTER PRESENTATION ASSESSMENT SCHEME

Posters are judged using the following breakdown as a guideline:

1) Poster structure (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Are all elements of the poster clearly visible/legible from 1 to 1.5 m away? Does the information on the poster follow a logical and easy to follow sequence? Are variations in colour and font size used effectively to improve delivery of the information being presented? At a quick glance, does the poster layout look too "busy"?

2) Case Presentation (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the case presentation clear? Are only facts relevant to the discussion provided (no unnecessary data should be shown)? Are the figures and tables clear and easily understood? Are the figures and tables self-explanatory, requiring no, or minimal, supplementary text?

3) Discussion (20 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the literature review relevant and comprehensive? Are the conclusions valid? Are there implications for clinical practice? Are there appropriate teaching points?

Please use the score sheet provided to generate a mark out of 40.





	Comments						
RS	Total	/40	/40	/40	/40	/40	/40
- POSTERS	Discussion	/20	/20	/20	/20	/20	/20
	Case	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10
SCORE SHEET	Poster structure score	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10
ADJUDICATOR	Title						
	Name						
	Poster No.						



ORAL PRESENTATION ASSESSMENT SCHEME

Oral presentations are judged using the following breakdown as a guideline:

1) Quality of the presentation (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the presentation delivered with confidence at an appropriate pace. Are all elements of the presentation clearly visible with slides that are not too busy? Are variations in colour and font size used effectively to improve delivery of the information being presented? Is the use of images and tables appropriate? Does the presentation follow a logical and easy to follow sequence?

2) Case Presentation (10 of the total)::

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the case presentation clear? Are only facts relevant to the discussion provided (no unnecessary data should be shown)? Are the figures and tables clear and easily understood? Does the presentation include a differential diagnosis and investigations? Are treatment and treatment options discussed as well as the clinical outcomes?

3) Discussion (20 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the literature review relevant and comprehensive? Are the unique features of the case discussed? Are scientific observations or hypotheses mentioned? Are the conclusions valid? Are there implications for clinical practice? Are there appropriate teaching points?

Please use the score sheet provided to generate a mark out of 40.



	Over time (-5)						
S N	Comments						
NTATIO	Total	/40	/40	/40	/40	/40	/40
ORAL PRESENTATIONS	Discussion score	/20	/20	/20	/20	/20	/20
- ORAL	Case	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10
SCORE SHEET	Quality	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10	/10
ADJUDICATOR SCORE	Title						
ADJU	Name						
	No.						



PREPARATION GUIDELINES FOR SCIENTIFIC POSTERS

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

You are recommended to condense the theme of your poster so that the observer may grasp its ideas within a few minutes. Poster presenters are required to attend their posters during the poster session (Saturday).

II. PREPARING THE POSTER

SIZE:

Your poster should be designed to be placed on a poster board size 1350 mm (h) x 950 mm (w)

At the meeting, the Congress supplies the poster board – please bring your own mounting pins. Titles and list of authors should be incorporated into the poster itself.

TEXT:

Text should be concise. In order to ensure good visibility at approximately 3 feet, the print size in the body of the text must be no smaller than 20 point font.

TABLES:

Tables accompanying posters should be simple and easy to interpret.

ILLUSTRATIONS:

Simple graphic illustrations thoroughly labeled are important.

PERMISSION:

Please ensure that you have permission to use any images you include as part of the poster. This includes a license for copyrighted materials and release forms from any patients who are recognizable in photographs or images that are part of the poster.

REFERENCES:

Please include not more than eight most pertinent references.