

SAGS REGISTRARS' GLAUCOMA CASE STUDY COMPETITION 2025



GENERAL

- SAGS weekend to be held at The Lord Charles Hotel in Somerset West from 30th May – 1 June
- The SAGS Registrar Case-study Competition is open to all registrars. The abstracts will be judged (anonymously) by the SAGS executive committee and five abstracts will be selected as oral presentations. The remainder will present posters at the meeting.
- **The YOM faculty require that ALL cases submitted, must be verified or presented to the registrars supervising consultant or Head of Department prior to submission. This is to ensure that a minimum academic standard is maintained. We require email confirmation from the supervisor.**

Please note the following guidelines for the competition:

- An abstract of a single clinical case, revolving around some aspect of Glaucoma diagnosis, management, or misdiagnosis.
- MMeds or case series will unfortunately not be accepted for the program/competition, however registrars are encouraged to submit these abstracts for the main SAGS congress.
- The case must be presented and approved by the registrar's consultant supervisor or the Head of Department prior to submission.
- Only 5 finalists will be selected to deliver the oral presentations of their cases, which will be judged by a panel of judges at the meeting.
- All other entries will be automatically entered into the poster section of the competition, which will also be judged a panel of judges.
- The deadline for the submission of abstract entries is 16 March 2025.
- The link to submit an abstract is <https://dipity.co.za/form-view/2>

SAGS REGISTRARS' GLAUCOMA CASE STUDY COMPETITION 2025



Poster Presentation Assessment Scheme

Posters are judged using the following breakdown as a guideline:

1) Poster structure (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Are all elements of the poster clearly visible/legible from 1 to 1.5 m away? Does the information on

the poster follow a logical and easy to follow sequence? Are variations in colour and font size used effectively to improve delivery of the information

being presented? At a quick glance, does the poster layout look too “busy”?

2) Case Presentation (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the case presentation

clear? Are only facts relevant to the discussion provided (no unnecessary data should be shown)? Are the figures and tables clear and easily understood?

Are the figures and tables self-explanatory, requiring no, or minimal, supplementary text?

3) Discussion (20 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the literature review relevant and comprehensive? Are the conclusions valid? Are there implications for clinical practice? Are there appropriate teaching points?

Please use the score sheet provided to generate a mark out of 40.

SAGS REGISTRARS' GLAUCOMA CASE STUDY COMPETITION 2025



ADJUDICATOR SCORE SHEET - POSTER

Poster No.	Name	Title	Poster Structure Score	Case Score	Discussion Score	Total Score	Comments
1			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40	
2			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40	
3			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40	
4			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40	
5			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40	

SAGS REGISTRARS' GLAUCOMA CASE STUDY COMPETITION 2025



Oral Presentation Assessment Scheme

Oral presentations are judged using the following breakdown as a guideline:

1) Quality of the presentation (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the presentation delivered with confidence at an appropriate pace. Are all elements of the presentation clearly visible with slides that are not too busy? Are variations in colour and font size used effectively to improve delivery of the information being presented? Is the use of images and tables appropriate? Does the presentation follow a logical and easy to follow sequence?

2) Case Presentation (10 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the case presentation clear? Are only facts relevant to the discussion provided (no unnecessary data should be shown)? Are the figures and tables clear and easily understood? Does the presentation include a differential diagnosis and investigations? Are treatment and treatment options discussed as well as the clinical outcomes?

3) Discussion (20 of the total):

Judges may wish to consider the following points. Is the literature review relevant and comprehensive? Are the unique features of the case discussed? Are scientific observations or hypotheses mentioned? Are the conclusions valid? Are there implications for clinical practice? Are there appropriate teaching points?

Please use the score sheet provided to generate a mark out of 40.

SAGS REGISTRARS' GLAUCOMA CASE STUDY COMPETITION 2025



ADJUDICATOR SCORE SHEET - ORAL

No.	Name	Title	Quality. Score	Case Score	Discussion Score	Total Score	Comments	Over. Time. (-5)
1			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40		
2			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40		
3			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40		
4			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40		
5			/ 10	/ 10	/ 20	/ 40		

SAGS REGISTRARS' GLAUCOMA CASE STUDY COMPETITION 2025



PREPARATION GUIDELINES FOR SCIENTIFIC POSTERS

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

You are recommended to condense the theme of your poster so that the observer may grasp its ideas within a few minutes. Poster presenters are required to be at their posters during the poster session (Friday evening)

II. Preparing the Poster

Size:

Your poster should be designed to be placed on a poster board size 1350 mm (h) x 950 mm (w)

At the meeting, the Congress supplies the poster board – please bring your own mounting pins. Titles and list of authors should be incorporated into the poster itself.

Text:

Text should be concise. In order to ensure good visibility at approximately 3 feet, the print size in the body of the text must be no smaller than 20 point font.

Tables:

Tables accompanying posters should be simple and easy to interpret.

Illustrations :

Simple graphic illustrations thoroughly labeled are important.

Permission:

Please ensure that you have permission to use any images you include as part of the poster. This includes a license for copyrighted materials and release forms from any patients who are recognizable in photographs or images that are part of the poster.

References:

Please include not more than eight most pertinent references.